Understanding novices' perceptions of "authentic" programming

Caryn Tran caryn@u.northwestern.edu Northwestern University Evanston, IL, USA

ABSTRACT

Authentic learning, characterized by engagement with real-world problems and tools, has long been of interest in education due to its impact on student motivation and learning outcomes [2, 7]. In computer science (CS) education, however, students and teachers face the challenge of balancing the desire to teach and learn "real" programming with the need for a gentle and scaffolded introduction to this highly abstract and cognitively demanding discipline [4]. As a tool-dependent discipline, the tension between authentic and scaffolded is particularly evident in the perceived in-authenticity of educational programming tools. While scaffolded blocks-based programming tools are approachable [14] and beneficial for learning [3, 10], they are often perceived as less authentic by high school students [4, 14], which can be demotivating. Conversely, "real" text-based programming, while authentic, can be difficult and intimidating, creating a barrier to learning and engagement [10, 14]. This dichotomy exemplifies a challenge in CS education: how can we provide an authentic learning experience through tools that are both approachable and representative of authentic programming practice?

Addressing this challenge necessitates understanding what "authenticity" means in the context of CS education. Authenticity, a multi-dimensional and complex concept, encompasses dimensions of real-world relevance, disciplinary relevance, and personal relevance, each of which can be further decomposed [8, 9, 11–13]. Crucially, it is each individual student's perception of authenticity, rather than an objective measure, that impacts their learning [2, 5]. While efforts have been made to create more authentic educational programming tools and curricula [1, 4, 6], these efforts adopt a top-down approach, with limited understanding of students' rich, multi-faceted perceptions of authentic programming.

Our study takes a bottom-up approach. We aim to first understand high school students' perceptions of authentic programming. Our research questions for this study are: (1) What do students mean by "real programming"? (2) Do theories of authenticity accurately model students' perception of authentic educational programming tools? (3) How do students assess existing educational programming tools' authenticity and what affects that assessment? (4) How does identity, background, and experience affect perceptions of authenticity? We employ a mixed-methods approach, combining

Permission to make digital or hard copies of part or all of this work for personal or classroom use is granted without fee provided that copies are not made or distributed for profit or commercial advantage and that copies bear this notice and the full citation on the first page. Copyrights for third-party components of this work must be honored. For all other uses, contact the owner/author(s).

ICER '23 V2, August 7-11, 2023, Chicago, IL, USA

© 2023 Copyright held by the owner/author(s).

ACM ISBN 978-1-4503-9975-3/23/08.

https://doi.org/10.1145/3568812.3603492

Eleanor O'Rourke eorourke@northwestern.edu Northwestern University Evanston, IL, USA

quantitative surveys with qualitative interviews. Informed by frameworks [8] and models [11] of authentic learning, we have designed a survey instrument to explore our research questions. Subsequent interviews will identify the characteristics of a given tool that lead to student perceptions of its authenticity, and probe how these perceptions affect student motivation to learn using said tool.

This first stage of our research will enhance our understanding of the qualities of programming tools that affect students' perception of authenticity. This understanding could lead to insights about the design of authentic learning tools and how to match students with educational programming tools that they find to be authentic. A later stage will leverage those insights to discover design techniques to create a sense of authenticity without sacrificing scaffolded learning. Overall, our research aims to contribute to an understanding of authentic learning in CS education and to develop theories and methods to design for perceived authenticity.

CCS CONCEPTS

- Social and professional topics \rightarrow K-12 education.

KEYWORDS

authentic learning, programming, student perception, high school

ACM Reference Format:

Caryn Tran and Eleanor O'Rourke. 2023. Understanding novices' perceptions of "authentic" programming. In *Proceedings of the 2023 ACM Conference on International Computing Education Research V.2 (ICER '23 V2), August 7–11, 2023, Chicago, IL, USA.* ACM, New York, NY, USA, 2 pages. https://doi.org/10.1145/3568812.3603492

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank the students and faculty in the Delta Lab for their valuable feedback.

REFERENCES

- David Bau, Anthony Bau, Matthew Dawson, and C. Sydney Pickens. 2015. Pencil code: block code for a text world. Proceedings of the 14th International Conference on Interaction Design and Children (2015).
- [2] Anica Betz, Sabrina Flake, Marcel Mierwald, and Marie Vanderbeke. 2016. Modelling authenticity in teaching and learning contexts: A contribution to theory development and empirical investigation of the construct. Singapore: International Society of the Learning Sciences.
- [3] Chen Chen, Paulina Haduong, Karen Brennan, Gerhard Sonnert, and Philip Sadler. 2019. The effects of first programming language on college students' computing attitude and achievement: a comparison of graphical and textual languages. *Computer Science Education* 29, 1 (2019), 23–48. https://doi.org/10. 1080/08993408.2018.1547564 arXiv:https://doi.org/10.1080/08993408.2018.1547564
- [4] Joey C.Y. Cheung, Grace Ngai, Stephen C.F. Chan, and Winnie W.Y. Lau. 2009. Filling the Gap in Programming Instruction: A Text-Enhanced Graphical Programming Environment for Junior High Students. In Proceedings of the 40th ACM Technical Symposium on Computer Science Education (Chattanooga, TN, USA) (SIGCSE '09). Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, 276-280. https://doi.org/10.1145/1508865.1508968

- [5] Judith TM Gulikers, Theo J Bastiaens, and Rob L Martens. 2005. The surplus value of an authentic learning environment. *Computers in Human Behavior* 21, 3 (2005), 509–521.
- [6] Mark Guzdial and Allison Elliott Tew. 2006. Imagineering Inauthentic Legitimate Peripheral Participation: An Instructional Design Approach for Motivating Computing Education. In Proceedings of the Second International Workshop on Computing Education Research (Canterbury, United Kingdom) (ICER '06). Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, 51–58. https: //doi.org/10.1145/1151588.1151597
- [7] Jan Herrington, Thomas C Reeves, and Ron Oliver. 2014. Authentic learning environments. Springer.
- [8] Valentina Nachtigall, David Williamson Shaffer, and Nikol Rummel. 2022. Stirring a secret sauce: a literature review on the conditions and effects of authentic learning. *Educational Psychology Review* 34, 3 (2022), 1479–1516.
- [9] Joseph L Polman. 2012. Trajectories of participation and identification in learning communities involving disciplinary practices. In Design Research on Learning and Thinking in Educational Settings. Routledge, 234–251.

- [10] Heidi Reichert, Ally Limke, Benyamin Tabarsi, Thomas Price, Chris Martens, and Tiffany Barnes. 2022. How, when, and why do novices struggle in programming? Exploring the experiences and perceptions of common programming moments in block-based environments. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.6983463
- [11] Daniela Schriebl, Andreas Müller, and Nicolas Robin. 2022. Modelling Authenticity in Science Education. Science & Education (2022), 1–28.
- [12] David Williamson Shaffer and Mitchel Resnick. 1999. "Thick" authenticity: New media and authentic learning. *Journal of interactive learning research* 10, 2 (1999), 195–216.
- [13] Johannes Strobel, J Wang, Nicole R Weber, and Melissa Dyehouse. 2013. The role of authenticity in design-based learning environments: The case of engineering education. *Computers & Education* 64 (2013), 143–152.
- [14] David Weintrop and Uri Wilensky. 2015. To Block or Not to Block, That is the Question: Students' Perceptions of Blocks-Based Programming. In Proceedings of the 14th International Conference on Interaction Design and Children (Boston, Massachusetts) (IDC '15). Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, 199–208. https://doi.org/10.1145/2771839.2771860